Use of field measurement data to identify possible sources of major ions in a stream flowing through evergreen forests in the southern Kyushu Mountains

Hirokazu Kitamura

Laboratory of Landscape Conservation, Minami-Kyushu University, Miyakonojo, Miyazaki 885-0035, Japan Received October 1, 2017; Accepted February 1, 2018

The present study was conducted to verify our previous experimental results and to clarify the influence of leaf litter and stream geomorphology on stream water composition based on field measurements conducted from April 2012 to March 2016. Stream water samples were collected from four different environments (a) springs; (b) riffles; (c) pools; and, (d) side-pools. Samples were collected using a 250 ml polyethylene bottle and water temperature, EC, pH, and the concentrations of TC(total carbon), TN (total nitrogen), cations (Li⁺, Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺) and anions (F⁻, Cl⁻, NO²⁻, Br⁻, NO³⁻, PO₄³⁻ and SO₄²⁻) were measured. It was revealed from two-way ANOVA and multiple regression analysis that the ion concentrations and physicochemical parameters of the stream water were affected by sampling season or stream environment, or both, and that ion concentrations might be related to each other, affecting physicochemical parameters.

 Na^+ originates from the weathering of plagioclase in sedimentary silicate rocks and Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} originate almost entirely from the weathering of calcite and/or dolomite in sedimentary carbonate rocks in the study area. However, the contribution of biomass to stream water composition, i.e. through leachate from leaf litter, can be pronounced for K⁺ concentrations. The findings of the present study also demonstrated that anion and cation concentrations in the study area differed significantly among stream environments and seasons, likely due to the heterogeneous distribution of ions resulting from leachate from leaves. As the reason for this, it was inferred that the presence of *sabo* dams affected the retention times of leaf litter in the stream. Thus, comparative studies of litter decomposition rates in reaches with and without *sabo* dams are needed in the future.

Key words: stream water composition, ions, sources, leaf litter, Paleogene.

1. Introduction

Stream water is composed of water from precipitation, groundwater and the soil (surface and subsurface water). Precipitation contains atmospheric gases and aerosols, and groundwater contains numerous ionic components derived from rock weathering, such as silicate, carbonate and sulfide rocks⁵⁾. Soil can be defined as a complex matrix comprised of air, water, decomposing organic matter, living plants and animals, and the residues of rock weathering¹⁹⁾. Stream runoff transports nutrients derived from minerals, precipitation, and organic matter, directly downstream. Stream water composition is therefore largely influenced by the geochemistry of groundwater and soil water⁶⁾.

The amount of allochthonous material that enters lotic ecosystems annually from terrestrial riparian forests is considerable⁴⁾, and the compounds derived from the decomposition of leaf litter are thought to have an important effect on stream water quality. We have demonstrated experimentally that, although the primary source of ions is rock weathering, soil and plant materials also contribute

to ion loads. Specifically, leaf litter is one of the primary sources of ions, especially K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺, in stream environments such as pools, rapids, springs and side-pools^{10–13)}. In terms of the materials from which ions are leached, the order of release is leaves>soil>rock¹⁷⁾. Further, the influence of leaf litter on stream water composition can be accelerated in side-pools, which tend to retain leaves supplied from the riparian zone⁸⁾.

The present study was conducted to verify these experimental results and to clarify the influence of leaf litter and stream geomorphology on stream water composition based on field measurements conducted from April 2012 to March 2016 in Miyazaki Prefecture, Japan.

2. Site description

Experiments were conducted in the Takeo River in Saito City of Miyazaki Prefecture, Japan. The Takeo River, a tributary of the Hitotsuse River, ranges in elevation from 100 m to 600 m and originates in the southern Kyusyu Mountains. The Takeo River Basin overlays the Miyazaki Formation, which was formed during the Cretaceous to middle Paleogene periods. The formation consists of black slate, sandstone and shale. Consequently, the geologic structure of the basin is characterized by the prevalence of weak and extensively folded rock strata with numerous faults that are susceptible to weathering. These geologic conditions have formed steep and unstable basin slopes where several mid-sized landslides have occurred in the last fifty years. To prevent landslides in the basin, seven 3- to 5 m-high *sabo* dams have been constructed along the river since 1965⁷.

The study site consists of a section of river measuring approximately 1 km, between *sabo* dam No. 5 and a point approximately 50 m downstream of *sabo* dam No. 6 (Fig. 1). The stream is a first-order stream with a mean width of 10 m, mean depth (at modal flow) of 0.3 m, mean slope of 1/40, and mean current velocities ranging from 20-30 cm/sec. The stream bottom is composed primarily of gravel, pebbles and cobble substrates, and the riparian vegetation consists of evergreen trees such as *Symplocos theophrastifolia* Sieb. et Zucc., *Machilus japonica* Sieb. et Zucc., *Meliosma rigida* Sieb. et Zucc., and *Litsea acuminata* Kurata. Since the slope of the stream basin is steep, tree leaves supplied to the forest floor of the slope tend to be removed to the stream bed.

3. Methods

3-1 Stream water sampling and estimation of water physicochemical parameters

Stream water samples were collected from four different environments (streambed types) (Fig. 1): (a) springs arising from cracks on the vertical cliffs of the bedrock slope running along the side of the stream, approximately 150 cm above the stream margin (hereafter, denoted as S); (b) riffles (R); (c) pools (P); and, (d) side-pools (SP), which were defined as stagnant areas of water along the stream margin. Since these sampling points (spring, riffle, pool and side-pool) were not distributed continuously, the water quality measurements at each sampling point were



Fig 1. Map of the catchment, investigated stream, and sampling points

considered to be independent of each other.

Samples were collected using a 250 ml polyethylene bottle. Water from springs was collected from cracks in the bedrock, and water from riffles was collected 10 cm below the water surface. In pools and side-pools, samples were collected approximately 5 cm above the substrate using a 250 ml polyethylene bottle. A water sampler was used to collect water in pools that were deeper than 50 cm. At the time of sampling, water temperature, EC and pH of the water were measured with a water quality probe (WQC-20A, TOA Electronics Ltd., Japan). The collected water samples were transported to our laboratory and the concentration of total carbon (TC; including total organic carbon (TOC) and inorganic carbon (IC)) and total nitrogen (TN) were measured using an automatic total organic carbon analyzer (TNC-6000, Toray Engineering K.K., Japan). The concentrations of cations (Li⁺, Na⁺, NH4⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺) and anions (F^{3-} , Cl⁻, NO²⁻, Br⁻, NO³⁻, PO4³⁻ and SO4²⁻) were measured by ion chromatography (DX-120, Nippon Dionex K.K., Japan).

Sampling of water was performed discretely and at random in the rainy season and during floods in summer, and in the non-rainy and dry season in winter, from July 2011 to January 2014, and monthly from April 2015 to March 2016.

3-2 Calculation of antecedent rainfall

A previous study showed that the release rates of cations differed according to the materials from which those cations were released as well as the specific cations involved ¹⁷⁾. The author of that study proposed that one of the reasons for the observed differences in release rates may have been the amount of rainfall. In order to clarify the effect of rainfall on the release rates of these substances in a natural stream basin, antecedent rainfall was inferred 30 and 90 days before each measurement day (hereafter referred to as Rain30 and Rain90, respectively) using daily rainfall data collected by a Japan Meteorological Agency rainfall observation station in Saito City. The observation station was located 5 km away from the study stream.

3-3 Statistical analysis

In the present analysis, the sampling seasons were defined as follows: March-May was taken as spring, June-August as summer, September-November as autumn, and December-February as winter. The effects of these sampling seasons (spring, summer, autumn and winter) and the sampling-point environment (S, P, R and SP) on water physicochemical parameters (temperature, EC, pH) and TC, TN, anion and cation concentrations were analyzed by two-way ANOVA. Differences among individual physicochemical parameters were estimated using Scheffe's multiple range test. In this analysis, the seasons and sampling-point environments were regarded as independent variables and the measurement values of the water physicochemical parameters and ion concentrations were regarded as dependent variables. Furthermore, in order to estimate the relations among the water physicochemical parameters and the release rates of major ions (SO_4^{2-} , Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺), partial correlation coefficients were calculated by multiple regression analysis, since the concentration of these major ions in stream water may be influenced through their interactions with the other water physicochemical components and ions. In the present analysis, partial regression coefficients corresponding to the stream environment (streambed types) were acquired

atroom	anvironmont	temp.	EC	pН	TC	TN	Cl	NO ³⁻	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2^-}$	Na^+	NH^{4+}	K^+	Mg^{2+}	Ca ²⁺
stream	environment	$(^{\circ}C)$	$(\mu S/cm)$		(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)	(mg/l)
	min	8.1	38.3	6.6	0.10	0.00	2.908	1.482	2.154	2.156	0.000	0.325	1.041	1.660
Р	max	22.7	98.8	8.0	18.40	3.30	12.640	5.084	6.888	8.178	0.879	1.646	5.077	13.559
(pool)	mean	15.9	80.2	7.2	8.96	0.40	4.675	2.872	4.532	3.731	0.085	0.667	2.941	7.218
	standard error	0.6	1.5	0.0	0.45	0.08	0.242	0.126	0.132	0.189	0.019	0.029	0.105	0.360
	min	8.2	62.6	6.6	0.00	0.00	2.947	1.066	0.954	2.181	0.000	0.371	0.886	1.133
R	max	22.7	99.2	8.0	14.10	3.00	9.538	5.230	6.530	7.198	0.592	1.958	5.133	13.839
(rapid)	mean	15.4	82.9	7.3	8.37	0.41	4.303	2.676	4.693	3.844	0.068	0.687	3.098	7.590
	standard error	0.6	1.3	0.0	0.43	0.07	0.184	0.127	0.130	0.192	0.014	0.034	0.117	0.357
	min	6.3	30.7	6.4	0.00	0.00	2.240	0.000	1.837	1.693	0.000	0.168	0.000	0.000
S	max	23.6	79.3	8.1	37.40	2.00	8.177	5.644	6.954	7.735	0.648	1.339	4.066	10.765
(spring)	mean	16.6	70.6	7.3	6.48	0.18	4.573	1.550	4.042	3.853	0.106	0.500	1.484	3.032
	standard error	0.7	3.2	0.1	0.92	0.07	0.165	0.167	0.235	0.240	0.024	0.040	0.135	0.417
	min	6.7	34.3	6.5	0.20	0.00	0.251	0.089	0.194	0.603	0.000	0.042	0.159	0.229
SP	max	22.7	104.2	7.8	29.00	2.40	12.470	6.789	6.635	7.983	0.385	2.872	5.117	13.765
(side-	mean	16.1	80.6	7.1	10.80	0.49	4.753	2.648	4.429	4.146	0.076	0.814	2.969	6.798
p001)	standard error	0.5	1.6	0.0	0.66	0.07	0.249	0.147	0.137	0.184	0.010	0.057	0.119	0.331

Table 1. Overall comparison of ranges, means and standard errors of measured physicochemical values n different stream environments

with 9 measurements as explanatory variables (temp., pH, TN/TC (the ratio of TN concentration to TC concentration), Rain30, Rain90 and each major ion concentration) and one measurement as a target variable (major ion concentration).

4. Results

4-1 Stream water fluctuations

4-1-1 Overall comparisons of measured values in stream water

The ranges, means and standard errors of measured parameters, corresponding to the stream environments of the respective sampling sites are shown in Table 1. The mean water temperature in the spring was $0.5-1.2^{\circ}$ C higher than that of the other stream environments sampled. The EC values in S were relatively lower than in R, P and SP. The highest pH value was observed in S and the mean pH value was lowest in SP. The range and mean of pH in R were almost the same as those in P, indicating the median value between S and P.

The measured TC concentration was the same as the TOC concentration, because no IC was detected in any samples. The values observed for TC were higher in S and SP than in R and P, except for mean TC value in S; however, those of TN were relatively higher in R and P than in S and SP, except for the mean TN value in SP.

The ranges and mean concentrations of each ion in the stream environments are also shown in Table 1. In the present study, Li^+ , NO^{2-} , Br^- , PO_4^{3-} and F^- were not detected in the stream water. With the exception of Cl^- , Na^+ and NH_{4^+} , mean ion concentrations were lowest in S and mean concentrations of Cl^- , Na^+ and K^+ were highest in SP. Other than the three springs (S) that were sampled in the present study, no additional springs or tributaries flow into the river reach examined in this study, and there are no artificial sources of ions. Consequently, all of the ions in the river reach examined in this study were considered to be derived from natural sources.

Table 2. Date of sampling and study month. Time(months) indicates the number of months after the firstmeasurement month

date of sampling	time (months)	seasons
2011.07.27	_	summer
2012.04.19	9	spring
2012.07.30	12	summer
2013.05.22	22	spring
2013.07.09	24	summer
2013.10.09	27	autumn
2014.01.22	30	winter
2015.04.09	45	spring
2015.05.12	46	spring
2015.06.16	47	summer
2015.07.14	48	summer
2015.09.02	49	autumn
2015.10.22	50	autumn
2015.11.27	51	autumn
2015.12.18	52	winter
2016.01.29	53	winter
2016.02.19	54	winter
2016.03.17	55	spring

4-1-2 Fluctuations of each parameter over the experimental period

The mean concentrations of EC, pH, TC, TN and the major ions at all of the sample sites are shown in Fig. 2. The sampling days and passage months which is the number of months since the first measurement month to each measurement month are shown in Table 2. Measurements conducted in September 2015 were disregarded due to



Fig 2(a). Fluctuations in mean physicochemical values in different stream environments. Time (months) on the horizontal axis indicates the number of months after the first measurement month



Fig 2(b). Fluctuations in mean physicochemical values in different stream environments. Time (months) on the horizontal axis indicates the number of months after the first measurement month



Fig 2(c). Fluctuations in mean physicochemical values in different stream environments. Time (months) on the horizontal axis indicates the number of months after the first measurement month

		(mm)
date of sampling	Rain30	Rain90
2011.07.27	184	957
2012.04.19	166	300
2012.07.30	349	825
2013.05.22	298	788
2013.07.09	535	1401
2013.10.09	246	686
2014.01.22	37	217
2015.04.09	160	238
2015.05.12	192	393
2015.06.16	188	567
2015.07.14	190	617
2015.09.02	540	833
2015.10.22	508	1081
2015.11.27	302	1414
2015.12.18	111	1048
2016.01.29	64	443
2016.02.19	178	328
2016.03.17	93	280

Table 3.	Calculation	results of	antecedent	rainfall 30
(Rain30) and	l 90 (Rain90)	days before	e each measu	rement day

problems with the experimental apparatus. EC measurements in S were considerably lower than EC measurements in the other stream environments for most of the sampling period. Mean concentrations of NH₄⁺ and K⁺, while considerably lower than those for the other ions, also fluctuated markedly in all of the stream environments over the course of the study. The mean concentrations of Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ were lowest in S during the study. The mean K⁺ concentrations generally tended to be highest in SP, except for the 5th to 7th measurements, and lowest in S throughout the experimental period. The mean K⁺ concentrations of the SP and P environments increased during the warm period from May to August 2015. The concentrations of K⁺ in S fluctuated slightly, from a minimum value of 0.27 mg/l in February 2015 to a maximum value of 1.49 mg/l in September 2015.

Similar fluctuations in SO_4^{2-} concentrations were observed in R, P, and SP, except in S, in which SO_4^{2-} concentrations were typically relatively smaller and ranged between 3.58 (mg/l) in October to 5.52 (mg/l) in November 2015.

4-2 Measurements of antecedent rainfall

The measurements of antecedent rainfall prior to each measurement day (i.e. Rain30 and Rain90) are shown in Table 3.

4-3 Results of statistical analysis

Table 4 shows the results of the two-way ANOVA, which was used to compare sampling seasons (spring, summer, autumn and winter) and the stream environments

Table 4.	Results of two-way analysis of variance of physi-
cochemical	parameters and season

Table 5.	Multiple regression	analysis o	of major ions in
stream ei	nvironments		

physico- chemical parameters	independent variables	n	mean square	F	Р
	season	3	1318.233	7.859	< 0.0001
FC	stream environment	3	7051.635	42.038	< 0.0001
Le	interaction	9	527.020	3.142	< 0.001
	residual error	225	167.744		
	season	3	0.087	0.748	n.s.
	stream	3	0.367	3.164	< 0.05
рН	interaction	0	0.030	0 335	ns
p	residual error	225	0.039	0.555	11.5.
	season	3	0.013	2.908	< 0.05
	stream	2	0.006	1 200	
TN/TC	environment	3	0.006	1.390	n.s.
	interaction	9	0.004	0.941	n.s.
	residual error	225	0.004		
	season	3	12.624	4.502	< 0.01
C1 ⁻	stream environment	3	1.934	0.690	n.s.
CI	interaction	9	4.807	1.714	n.s.
	residual error	225	2.804		
	season	3	9.253	8.387	< 0.0001
NO ³⁻	stream environment	3	18.759	17.003	< 0.0001
	interaction	9	0.866	0.785	n.s.
	residual error	225	1.103		
	season	3	16.166	13.857	< 0.0001
$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	stream environment	3	4.372	3.747	< 0.05
	interaction	9	1.631	1.398	n.s.
	residual error	225	1.167		
	season	3	20.452	9.380	< 0.0001
Na ⁺	environment	3	1.328	0.609	n.s.
	interaction	9	0.788	0.362	n.s.
	residual error	225	2.181		
	season	3	0.040	2.614	n.s.
$\mathrm{NH4}^+$	environment	3	0.013	0.853	n.s.
	interaction	9	0.008	0.508	n.s.
	residual error	225	0.015	2.121	< 0.05
	season	3	0.372	3.131	< 0.05
K^+	environment	3	15.575	7.381	< 0.0001
	interaction	9	0.436	0.207	n.s.
	residual error	225	2.110		
	season	3	0.632	0.795	n.s.
Mg^{2+}	stream environment	3	30.475	38.327	< 0.0001
	interaction	9	2.297	2.889	< 0.01
	residual error	225	0.795		
	season	3	66.151	10.622	< 0.0001
Ca ²⁺	stream environment	3	250.276	40.189	< 0.0001
	interaction	9	25.748	4.134	< 0.0001
	residual error	225	6.228		

* n.s. is an abbreviation of not significant.

stream environments	components	multiple correlation coefficient	$\begin{array}{c} \text{coefficient of} \\ \text{determination} \\ r^2 \end{array}$	F	Р
	SO4 ²⁻	0.920	0.8460	27.515	0.000
	Na^+	0.941	0.8860	38.835	0.000
P (neel)	K^+	0.724	0.5240	5.504	0.000
(poor)	Mg^{2+}	0.912	0.8310	24.642	0.000
	Ca ²⁺	0.932	0.8680	32.936	0.000
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.920	0.8460	22.993	0.000
	Na ⁺	0.941	0.8860	52.375	0.000
R (rapid)	K^+	0.724	0.5240	3.497	0.001
(iupiu)	Mg^{2+}	0.912	0.8310	41.895	0.000
	Ca ²⁺	0.932	0.8680	27.089	0.000
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.617	0.3800	2.025	n.s.
	Na ⁺	0.879	0.7730	11.210	0.000
S (spring)	K^+	0.831	0.6900	7.349	0.000
(57-1-8)	Mg^{2+}	0.951	0.9040	31.248	0.000
	Ca ²⁺	0.922	0.8500	18.703	0.000
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.827	0.6830	13.598	0.000
	Na ⁺	0.904	0.8180	28.299	0.000
SP (side-pool)	K^+	0.521	0.2710	2.344	0.020
(poor)	Mg^{2+}	0.904	0.8180	28.270	0.000
	Ca^{2+}	0.873	0.7620	20.181	0.000

* n.s. is an abbreviation of not significant.

of sampling points (P, R, S and SP), which were regarded as independent variables, against each measurement value, which was regarded as a dependent variable. Significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) were observed in the sampling seasons and the stream environments in EC, NO³⁻, SO₄³⁻, K⁺ and Ca²⁺. In TN/TC, Cl⁻ and Na⁺, only the sampling seasons were significant independent variable ($P \le 0.05$). In pH and Mg²⁺, only the stream environments were significant independent variable ($P \le 0.05$). No significant differences were observed in either independent and dependent variables in NH4⁺. Differences among individual variables were estimated using Scheffe's multiple range test (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). In sampling seasons, significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) were observed between winter and the other seasons, except for NO³⁻ (Fig. 3). Significant differences ($P \le 0.05$) were observed between S and/or SP and the other stream environments (Fig. 4).

The results of the multiple regression analysis are shown in Table 5 and Table 6. Correlation coefficients are high, indicating that the concentrations of major ions are influenced significantly by the physiochemical parameters and the other major ions, except for SO_4^{2-} in S (Table 5). The standardized partial regression coefficients, significance probabilities (*P* value) and variance inflation factors of each independent value corresponding to treatment are shown in Table 6. Generally, the higher the standardized partial regression coefficient of an independent value is, the stronger the influence of the independent value on the dependent value in each treatment is. Several independent



Fig 3. Results of *Sheffe's* multiple range test for changes in physicochemical parameters in different sampling seasons. Different letters above columns indicate statistical differences (P < 0.05).



Fig 4. Results of *Sheffe's* multiple range test for changes in physicochemical parameters in different stream environments. Different letters above columns indicate statistical differences (P < 0.05).

22 Use of field measurement data to identify possible sources of major ions in a stream flowing through evergreen forests in the southern Kyushu Mountains

Table 6(a). Standardized partial regress	on coefficient, probability (P value) and	l variance inflation factor	of each inde-
pendent value corresponding to treatment.	* indicate that variance factor is \geq 5.0.		

				P (pool)		
dependent variables	independent variables	partial regression	standardized partial	t	Р	partial correlation	Variance Inflation Factor
	temp	-0.075	_0 339	-2 9/19	0.005	-0.385	4 301
	nH	1 281	0.414	4 926	0.000	0.535	2 207
	p11 TN/TC	2.151	0.414	4.920	0.000	0.372	2.297
	N-+	2.131	0.155	0.295	11.8.	0.224	2.1/2
ao ² -	INa Na	-0.044	-0.063	-0.385	n.s.	-0.054	8.741*
SO_4^-	K .	-0.276	-0.061	-0.768	n.s.	-0.108	2.076
	Mg ²⁺	0.294	0.236	1.802	n.s.	0.247	5.567*
	Ca ²⁺	0.088	0.242	1.624	n.s.	0.224	7.207*
	R30	-0.001	-0.190	-1.477	n.s.	-0.204	5.381*
	R90	0.000	0.068	0.528	n.s.	0.075	5.350*
	temp.	0.077	0.243	2.386	0.021	0.320	4.534
	pН	0.911	0.205	2.460	0.017	0.329	3.043
	TN/TC	3.133	0.135	1.932	n.s.	0.264	2.127
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	-0.067	-0.047	-0.385	n.s.	-0.054	6.484*
Na^+	\mathbf{K}^+	1.520	0.235	3.868	0.000	0.480	1.617
	Mg^{2+}	1.253	0.698	11.354	0.000	0.849	1.657
	Ca^{2+}	-0.371	-0.708	-8.300	0.000	-0.761	3.191
	R30	-0.001	-0.119	-1.066	n.s.	-0.149	5.491
	R90	0.001	0.216	3.166	0.003	0.409	2.037
	temp.	-0.004	-0.089	-0.409	n.s.	-0.058	5.033*
	рН	-0.155	-0.226	-1.276	n.s.	-0.178	3.304
	TN/TC	-1.161	-0.323	-2.299	0.026	-0.309	2.068
	SO_4^{2-}	-0.042	-0 190	-0.768	ns	-0.108	6 427*
\mathbf{K}^+	Na ⁺	0.152	0.980	3 868	0.000	0.480	6 748*
iii	Mg ²⁺	-0.128	-0.460	-2.015	0.049	-0.274	5 483*
	Ca^{2+}	0.074	0.912	3 865	0.000	0.480	5.841*
	R 30	0.001	0.458	2.062	0.000	0.480	5.176*
	R90	0.000	0.458	0.263	0.0 1	0.037	5 272*
	tomp	-0.034	-0.102	-1 501	n.s.		4.922
	temp.	-0.034	-0.192	2 764	0.000	-0.208	4.632
	рп	-0.883	-0.336	-5.704	0.000	-0.470	2.038
	11N/ IC	-1.407	-0.113	-1.509	11.8.	-0.182	2.210
x 2+	SO4	0.207	0.239	1.802	n.s.	0.247	6.106*
Mg	Na	0.575	1.032	11.354	0.000	0.849	2.450
	K (1)	-0.588	-0.163	-2.015	0.049	-0.274	1.943
	Ca	0.204	0.698	5.545	0.000	0.617	4.698
	R30	0.000	0.079	0.576	n.s.	0.081	5.578*
	R90	0.000	-0.211	-2.459	0.017	-0.328	2.182
	temp.	0.084	0.139	1.219	n.s.	0.170	4.904
	рН	2.117	0.250	2.837	0.007	0.372	2.938
	TN/TC	6.793	0.153	2.052	0.045	0.279	2.109
	SO_4^{2-}	0.568	0.207	1.624	n.s.	0.224	6.177*
Ca ²⁺	Na ⁺	-1.560	-0.818	-8.300	0.000	-0.761	3.687
	K^+	3.114	0.252	3.865	0.000	0.480	1.618
	Mg^{2+}	1.867	0.545	5.545	0.000	0.617	3.671
	R30	-0.004	-0.227	-1.936	n.s.	-0.264	5.224*
	R90	0.001	0.225	3.050	0.004	0.396	2.062

Table 6(b). Standardized partial regress	ion coefficient, probability (P value) and	I variance inflation factor of each inde-
pendent value corresponding to treatment.	* indicate that variance factor is \geq 5.0	,

				R (rapio	d)		
dependent variables	independent variables	partial regression coefficient	standardized partial regression coefficient	t	Р	partial correlation coefficient	Variance Inflation Factor
	temp.	-0.088	-0.397	-3.282	0.002	-0.418	4.104
	pH	0.853	0.269	3.310	0.002	0.421	1.855
	TN/TC	-0.531	-0.041	-0.556	n.s.	-0.078	1.524
	Na^+	-0.174	-0.256	-1.299	n.s.	-0.179	10.908*
SO_4^{2-}	K^+	-0.737	-0.193	-2.661	0.010	-0.349	1.480
	Mg ²⁺	0.531	0.477	2.833	0.007	0.369	7.962*
	Ca^{2+}	0.086	0.237	1.622	n.s.	0.221	6.002*
	R 30	0.000	-0.052	-0.453	ns	-0.063	3 692
	R90	0.000	0.116	0.925	n s	0.128	4 456
	temp	0.088	0.271	3 192	0.002	0.408	4 143
	nH	0.893	0.191	3 374	0.002	0.427	1.843
	TN/TC	1.654	0.086	1 720	0.001	0.234	1.045
	SO. ²⁻	-0.185	-0.125	-1.200	n.s.	-0.170	5 222*
ът ⁺	504 V ⁺	-0.183	-0.123	-1.299	0.029	-0.179	3.552
Na	K	0.622	0.111	2.131	0.038	0.286	1.548
	Mg ²	1.345	0.819	15.210	0.000	0.905	1.665
	Ca	-0.303	-0.564	-8.180	0.000	-0.753	2.730
	R30	-0.001	-0.113	-1.430	n.s.	-0.196	3.564
	R90	0.001	0.228	3.560	0.001	0.446	2.362
	temp.	-0.022	-0.379	-1.615	n.s.	-0.221	4.729
	pH	0.027	0.032	0.199	n.s.	0.028	2.252
	TN/TC	-0.551	-0.162	-1.230	0.010	-0.170	1.489
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	-0.165	-0.631	-2.661	0.010	-0.349	4.837
\mathbf{K}^+	Na^+	0.131	0.739	2.131	0.038	0.286	10.348*
	Mg^{2+}	-0.134	-0.458	-1.427	n.s.	-0.196	8.861*
	Ca ²⁺	0.053	0.555	2.138	0.037	0.287	5.793*
	R30	0.000	-0.257	-1.256	n.s.	-0.173	3.595
	R90	0.000	0.454	2.056	0.045	0.277	4.184
	temp.	-0.037	-0.184	-1.850	n.s.	-0.251	4.658
	pН	-0.724	-0.255	-4.287	0.000	-0.515	1.657
	TN/TC	-0.449	-0.039	-0.678	n.s.	-0.095	1.519
	${\rm SO_4}^{2-}$	0.256	0.285	2.833	0.007	0.369	4.759
Mg^{2+}	Na^+	0.609	1.001	15.210	0.000	0.905	2.036
-	$\mathrm{K}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	-0.287	-0.084	-1.427	n.s.	-0.196	1.621
	Ca^{2+}	0.167	0.512	5.616	0.000	0.618	3.900
	R30	0.001	0.087	0.992	n.s.	0.138	3.637
	R90	0.000	-0.233	-3.221	0.002	-0.411	2.450
	temp.	0.198	0.327	2.826	0.007	0.368	4.298
	pН	2.195	0.253	3.330	0.002	0.423	1.852
	TN/TC	4.354	0.122	1.829	n.s.	0.248	1.438
	SO_4^{2-}	0.567	0.207	1.622	n.s.	0.221	5.238*
Ca ²⁺	Na^+	-1.872	-1.007	-8.180	0.000	-0.753	4.874
	K^+	1.550	0.148	2,138	0.037	0.287	1.547
	Mø ²⁺	2.282	0 747	5 616	0.000	0.618	5 693*
	R 30	-0.004	-0.213	-2.068	0.044	-0 278	3 420
	R90	0.002	0.333	3.977	0.000	0.486	2.251
	~ ~						

24 Use of field measurement data to identify possible sources of major ions in a stream flowing through evergreen forests in the southern Kyushu Mountains

		S (spring)					
dependent	independent	partial regression	standardized partial		D	partial correlation	Variance Inflation
variables	variables	coefficient	regression coefficient	ι	Р	coefficient	Factor
	temp.	-0.143	-0.406	-2.089	0.045	-0.342	2.012
	pН	0.649	0.149	0.988	n.s.	0.169	1.211
	TN/TC	-1.120	-0.063	-0.391	n.s.	-0.068	1.364
	Na^+	0.770	0.788	3.120	0.004	0.477	3.395
$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	\mathbf{K}^+	-1.763	-0.298	-1.238	n.s.	-0.211	3.084
	Mg^{2+}	-1.138	-0.656	-1.531	n.s.	-0.258	9.774*
	Ca^{2+}	0.425	0.755	2.297	0.028	0.371	5.748*
	R30	0.000	0.022	0.095	n.s.	0.017	2.897
	R90	0.001	0.168	0.576	n.s.	0.100	4.518
	temp.	0.069	0.190	1.574	n.s.	0.264	2.118
	pH	-0.516	-0.116	-1.279	n.s.	-0.217	1.187
	TN/TC	-1.317	-0.072	-0.746	n.s.	-0.129	1.348
	SO_4^{2-}	0.296	0.289	3.120	0.004	0.477	1.246
Na^+	K^+	2.054	0.339	2.475	0.019	0.396	2.722
	Mg ²⁺	1.647	0.928	4.323	0.000	0.601	6.684*
	Ca ²⁺	-0.297	-0.516	-2.649	0.012	-0.419	5.498*
	R30	0.001	0.103	0.735	n.s.	0.127	2.852
	R90	0.001	0.268	2.239	0.032	0.363	2.087
	temp.	-0.004	-0.065	-0.445	n.s.	-0.077	2.264
	рН	0.066	0.090	0.840	n.s.	0.145	1.220
	TN/TC	-0.408	-0.135	-1.216	0.020	-0.207	1.312
	SO_4^{2-}	-0.025	-0.149	-1.238	n.s.	-0.211	1.542
\mathbf{K}^{+}	Na^+	0.076	0.462	2.475	0.019	0.396	3.708
	Mg ²⁺	0.009	0.032	0.102	n.s.	0.018	10.466*
	Ca ²⁺	0.044	0.465	1.963	n.s.	0.323	5.971*
	R30	0.000	-0.194	-1.199	n.s.	-0.204	2.777
	R90	0.000	0.234	1.155	n.s.	0.197	4.386
	temp.	-0.003	-0.013	-0.160	n.s.	-0.028	2.276
	рН	0.068	0.027	0.451	n.s.	0.078	1.239
	TN/TC	0.681	0.066	1.066	n.s.	0.182	1.325
	SO_4^{2-}	-0.058	-0.101	-1.531	n.s.	-0.258	1.507
Mg ²⁺	Na^+	0.220	0.390	4.323	0.000	0.601	2.807
e	\mathbf{K}^{+}	0.034	0.010	0.102	n.s.	0.018	3.226
	Ca ²⁺	0.191	0.588	6.264	0.000	0.737	3.046
	R30	-0.001	-0.119	-1.332	n.s.	-0.226	2.750
	R90	0.000	-0.191	-2.500	0.018	-0.399	2.021
	temp.	0.077	0.123	1.233	n.s.	0.210	2.177
	pН	0.075	0.010	0.129	0.020	0.022	1.246
	TN/TC	0.492	0.015	0.196	n.s.	0.034	1.369
	SO_4^{2-}	0.324	0.183	2.297	0.028	0.371	1.391
Ca ²⁺	Na^+	-0.590	-0.340	-2.649	0.012	-0.419	3.626
	K^+	2.364	0.225	1.963	n.s.	0.323	2.890
	Mg^{2+}	2.844	0.923	6.264	0.000	0.737	4.783
	R30	0.001	0.062	0.540	n.s.	0.094	2.873
	R90	-0.001	-0.180	-1.282	n.s.	-0.218	4.347

Table 6(c).	Standardized partial regress	ion coefficient, probability (P value) and varia	ance inflation factor of each inde-
pendent valu	e corresponding to treatment.	* indicate that variance factor is \geq 5.0.	

		SP (side-pool)					
dependent variables	independent variables	partial regression coefficient	standardized partial regression coefficient	t	Р	partial correlation coefficient	Variance Inflation Factor
	temp.	-0.136	-0.541	-3.789	0.000	-0.431	4.050
	pН	0.243	0.069	0.762	n.s.	0.096	1.635
	TN/TC	-0.906	-0.034	-0.398	n.s.	-0.050	1.447
	Na ⁺	-0.009	-0.012	-0.073	n.s.	-0.009	5.491*
$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	K^+	0.354	0.147	1.818	n.s.	0.223	1.304
	Mg^{2+}	0.236	0.205	1.248	n.s.	0.155	5.355*
	Ca ²⁺	0.188	0.452	3.383	0.001	0.392	3.557
	R30	0.002	0.295	2.140	0.036	0.260	3.791
	R90	-0.001	-0.288	-1.841	n.s.	-0.226	4.853
	temp.	0.158	0.469	4.499	0.000	0.493	3.764
	pН	0.322	0.069	1.002	n.s.	0.125	1.624
	TN/TC	0.061	0.002	0.026	n.s.	0.003	1.451
	SO_4^{2-}	-0.009	-0.007	-0.073	n.s.	-0.009	3.158
Na^+	K^+	0.215	0.067	1.071	n.s.	0.134	1.347
	Mg^{2+}	1.313	0.853	13.005	0.000	0.854	1.489
	Ca ²⁺	-0.276	-0.497	-5.487	0.000	-0.569	2.844
	R30	-0.003	-0.234	-2.244	0.028	-0.272	3.766
	R90	0.001	0.203	2.498	0.015	0.300	2.284
	temp.	0.031	0.301	1.269	n.s.	0.158	4.849
	pН	-0.046	-0.031	-0.228	n.s.	-0.029	1.649
	TN/TC	-2.854	-0.257	-2.049	0.045	-0.250	1.360
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.141	0.339	1.818	n.s.	0.223	3.001
K^+	Na ⁺	0.083	0.268	1.071	n.s.	0.134	5.394*
	Mg^{2+}	-0.069	-0.144	-0.574	n.s.	-0.072	5.459*
	Ca ²⁺	0.032	0.184	0.837	n.s.	0.105	4.157
	R30	0.000	0.033	0.154	n.s.	0.019	4.065
	R90	0.000	-0.077	-0.315	n.s.	-0.040	5.106*
	temp.	-0.064	-0.295	-2.582	0.012	-0.309	4.497
	pН	-0.333	-0.109	-1.613	n.s.	-0.199	1.585
	TN/TC	2.064	0.089	1.395	n.s.	0.173	1.407
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.102	0.118	1.248	n.s.	0.155	3.082
Mg^{2+}	Na ⁺	0.555	0.854	13.005	0.000	0.854	1.490
	K^+	-0.075	-0.036	-0.574	n.s.	-0.072	1.365
	Ca ²⁺	0.164	0.455	4.835	0.000	0.520	3.066
	R30	0.001	0.098	0.905	n.s.	0.113	4.015
	R90	0.000	-0.223	-2.775	0.007	-0.330	2.237
	temp.	0.173	0.285	2.156	0.035	0.262	4.631
	pН	2.216	0.262	3.658	0.001	0.419	1.361
	TN/TC	-1.755	-0.027	-0.369	n.s.	-0.046	1.448
	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.818	0.340	3.383	0.001	0.392	2.673
Ca ²⁺	Na ⁺	-1.170	-0.650	-5.487	0.000	-0.569	3.716
	\mathbf{K}^{+}	0.347	0.060	0.837	n.s.	0.105	1.357
	Mg^{2+}	1.647	0.594	4.835	0.000	0.520	4.002
	R30	-0.009	-0.464	-4.242	0.000	-0.471	3.163
	R90	0.002	0.295	2.201	0.031	0.267	4.749

Table 6(d).	Standardized partial regress	ion coefficient	, probability (l	P value) and	variance inflation	factor of each ind	e-
pendent value	e corresponding to treatment.	* indicate th	at variance fac	ctor is \geq 5.0.			

values were judged as significant ($P \le 0.05$) in each treatment. However, distortion due to multicollinearity may exist in a calculated partial regression coefficient when the variance inflation factor is $\ge 5.0^{22}$. Thus, in the present analysis, a maximum of five independent values (in descending order of standardized partial regression coefficients with $P \le 0.05$ and variance inflation factor ≤ 5.0 were selected from the common independent values as significant independent values in each treatment in all the stream environments for temp., Rain90, N/C, Ca²⁺ and pH, in SO4²⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ (Table 7).

5. Discussion

The present analysis revealed that the ion concentrations and physicochemical parameters of the stream water were affected by sampling season or stream environment, or both, and that ion concentrations might be related to each other, affecting physicochemical parameters.

 SO_4^{2-} was detected every month at all sampling sites in the stream water, but it was not detected in the leachates from evergreen tree species in our previous leaching experiments ^{9, 10}; it is therefore considered that sulfide rock weathering is the primary source of SO_4 ^{2–5)} and that Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ concentrations fluctuate in response to the SO_4 ^{2–} concentration in S and SP, respectively. While atmospheric gasses and aerosol dust may also contribute to SO_4 ^{2–} levels in stream water, the multiple regression analysis showed that the significant independent value affecting SO_4^{2-} concentration was water temperature. Thus, while the main sources of SO_4^{2-} in the study area are unknown, they are affected by water temperature, such as dissolved sulfate containing organic sulfur²⁾.

Na⁺ is commonly found bound to Cl⁻. Although weathering of NaCl-containing rocks accounts for most of the Na⁺ found in river water, the constant supply of Na⁺ and Cl- through rainwater originating from sea water is considered to contribute markedly to ion supply along the coast¹⁾. However, in the present study, the concentrations of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ were inversely related on a seasonal basis (see Fig. 2). The independent variable that had a significant influence on the Na⁺ concentration in the stream water was Rain90 (see Table 7). Previous studies reported that daily Na⁺ release rates from bedrock by leaching are higher over 90 days than over 30 days of incubation, indicating a relatively strong relation with Rain90. Thus, it is possible that an appreciable fraction of Na⁺ in the stream water arises from silicate contained in the bedrock of the basin in which the study area is located. Sodium in silicate rocks is present mainly as the albite component of plagioclase, with the formation NaAlSi₄O₈ which contains no Cl⁻, weathering slowly. Since plagioclase is a major source of the Na⁺ in groundwater, it is also likely to be a major source of Na⁺ in stream water ⁵⁾.

It has been reported that Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ in river wa-

Table 7. Significant independent variables corresponding to stream environments for major ions for which standardized partial regression coefficients are P < 0.05 and variance inflation factors are < 5.0

stream environ- ments	P (pool)		R (rapid)			S (spring)	SP (side-pool)	
dependent	independent	standardized partial	independent	standardized partial	independent	ependent standardized partial		standardized partial
variables	variables	regression coefficient	variables	regression coefficient	variables	regression coefficient	variables	regression coefficient
	pН	0.414	temp.	-0.397	Na^+	0.788	Ca ²⁺	0.452
$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	temp.	-0.339	pH	0.269	temp.	-0.406	R30	0.295
			\mathbf{K}^+	-0.193			temp.	-0.541
	Mg ²⁺	0.698	Mg^{2+}	0.819	K^+	0.339	Mg^{2+}	0.853
	temp.	0.243	temp.	0.271	${\rm SO_4}^{2-}$	0.289	temp.	0.469
Na^+	\mathbf{K}^{+}	0.235	Rain90	0.228	Rain90	0.268	Rain90	0.203
	Rain90	0.216	pH	0.191			Rain30	-0.234
	pH	0.205	\mathbf{K}^+	0.111			Ca ²⁺	-0.497
	TN/TC	-0.323	Rain90	0.454	Na^+	0.462	TN/TC	-0.257
\mathbf{K}^{+}			TN/TC	-0.162	TN/TC	-0.135		
			$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2^-}$	-0.631				
	Na^+	1.032	Na^+	1.001	Ca ²⁺	0.588	Na^+	0.854
	Ca ²⁺	0.698	Ca ²⁺	0.512	Na^+	0.390	Ca ²⁺	0.455
Mg^{2+}	\mathbf{K}^+	-0.163	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.285	Rain90	-0.191	Rain90	-0.223
	Rain90	-0.211	Rain90	-0.233			temp.	-0.295
	pН	-0.356	pН	-0.255				
	Mg ²⁺	0.545	Rain90	0.333	Mg ²⁺	0.923	Mg^{2+}	0.594
	K^+	0.252	temp.	0.327	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.183	$\mathrm{SO_4}^{2-}$	0.340
Ca^{2+}	pН	0.250	pH	0.253	pН	0.010	Rain90	0.295
	Rain90	0.225	K^+	0.148	Na^+	-0.340	temp.	0.285
	TN/TC	0.153	Rain30	-0.213			pH	0.262

ter are almost entirely derived from rock weathering $^{1)}$. Ca²⁺ sources consist mainly of carbonate rock containing calcite, CaCO₃, and dolomite, CaMg(CO₃)₂, with small quantities of CaSO₄ minerals ⁵⁾. Mg-silicate minerals, chiefly amphiboles, pyroxenes, olivine, biotite, as well as dolomite, constitute the main sources of Mg²⁺. Thus, it is possible that the release of Ca²⁺ from carbonate rock is accompanied by the release of Mg²⁺. This hypothesis is supported by the results of the multiple regression analysis in this study which showed that the most significant independent variable affecting the Mg²⁺ concentration is the Ca²⁺ concentration (see Table 7). The Mg²⁺ concentration was significantly and positively related to the Na⁺ concentration of the water in Table 7. The reason for this may be that Ca2+ was absorbed by clay minerals (montmorillonite) in the weathered sedimentary rock, Na⁺ being released from the rock 18).

Further, Ca²⁺ concentrations are also affected by pH, except in R. For example, a previous study showed that the release of Ca2+ increases markedly at higher temperatures and that low pH increases Ca²⁺ release even further ¹⁰. Previous experiments also showed that Ca²⁺ was released from leaves and rock at nearly the same rates at 90 days after submergence 17). Ca2+ release from bedrock and leaves is thus considered to be promoted through a combination of these factors. The Ca²⁺ content of leaves increases throughout the growing season and is retained until major structural breakdown of the leaf occurs ²⁰⁾. Also, because Ca²⁺ occurs in an exchangeable form that is highly mobile and readily replaced by $H^+,\ Ca^{2+}$ can readily be leached from the surface organic layers $^{26)}.$ In streams, minerals such as, Na⁺, Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ originate almost entirely from the weathering of sedimentary carbonate rocks ¹). However, based on the observed results, changes in water composition are also considered to occur in response to natural inputs and subsequent leaching of leaf litter. This hypothesis is supported by the field measurements in our previous studies ^{9–11}). Several sources of these minerals might be reflected as independent values in Table 7.

Potassium in river water comes predominantly (nearly 90%) from the weathering of silicate minerals, particularly potassium feldspar, as orthoclase and microcline (KAl-Si₃O₈) and mica, as biotite (K(Mg, Fe)₃(AlSi₃O₁₀)(OH)₂)²¹⁾. However, we have showed that plant leaf litter supplied into stream water from the terrestrial ecosystem released K⁺ due to leaching ^{9, 10, 13, 17}, indicating that leaf litter is also one of the major sources of K⁺ in stream water.

The results of the two-way ANOVA showed that the K⁺ concentration in the stream water is significantly higher in summer and autumn when leaf fall from evergreen trees is accelerated, and in side-pools where the leaves tend to accumulate for prolonged periods 8). The results showed that leaves from evergreen trees that entered the stream water in the study area are retained in side-pools where they release K⁺ by leaching, which is the first stage of leaf decomposition ^{11, 12}, resulting in relatively high K⁺ concentrations in the water. The main source of K⁺ in the study area is therefore considered to be biological, such as leaf litter. Furthermore, the multiple regression analysis showed that TN/TC, which is the common significantindependent variable in all stream environments in this study area, significantly affected K⁺ concentration. Because evergreen tree species are dominant in the study area (e.g. Quercus glauca), they constantly release carbon and nitrogen, as well as minerals, into the stream water^{11, 13}; the TN/TC value can therefore be used as a biological index for leaf litter decomposition and subsequent mineral

release. The results of the multiple regression analysis (Table 7) also support the hypothesis that the main source of K^+ in the study area is plant material.

However, the reason for the relatively high concentrations of NO^{3-} in SP remains unclear.

6. Conclusion

Minerals in stream water originate from a variety of sources. Based on the results of this study, it appears likely that Na⁺ originates from the weathering of plagioclase in sedimentary silicate rocks and Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ originate almost entirely from the weathering of calcite and/or dolomite in sedimentary carbonate rocks in the study area. However, since Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ concentrations are lowest at S, it is possible that the water collected at these sites is subsurface water (soil water) and not groundwater. This hypothesis is supported by a previous study which demonstrated that the leaching rates of Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ from soil were markedly lower than from bedrock, likely because the study area is situated on an unstable alluvial plain and the soil used in the analysis lacked a humus layer ¹⁷⁾.

It has been reported that approximately 90% of K⁺ originates from the weathering of silicate materials, especially potassium feldspar and mica ¹⁾ and biotite ²¹⁾. However, it is not possible that the main source of K⁺ in the study area is rock weathering because our previous leaching experiments, which used bedrock samples from the same study area, showed that only small amounts of K⁺, Mg²⁺ and SiO₂ in mica and dolomite were released from the bedrock samples ¹⁷⁾. Indeed, previous studies have also shown that the contribution of biomass to stream water composition, i.e. through leachate from leaf litter, can be pronounced ^{9–13, 17)}.

The findings of the present study also demonstrated that anion and cation concentrations in the study area differed significantly among stream environments and seasons, likely due to the heterogeneous distribution of ions resulting from leachate from leaves. In order for significant leaching to occur, leaves need to remain at a site for a sufficient period of time, which can be affected by factors such as channel morphology and riparian zone area³⁾; the hydrological and substrate characteristics along the stream margin²⁵⁾; the seasonal patterns of litter fall and the discharge characteristics of the stream²⁷⁾; the amount of leaf litter entering a stream²³⁾; and, biotic factors such as shredder density^{14–16)}. Consequently, leaching is more commonly encountered in the side-pools because these sites satisfy the abovementioned conditions for promoting litter retention.

The stream environments in the investigated stream are characterized by uniform substrates consisting of similarly sized particles. Formation of side-pools along the stream margins was promoted by the uniform crosssectional nature of the stream, low current velocity, and shallow water depth. Substrates along the stream margins are also more likely to trap leaves than the same substrates in the main stream channel. The presence of the sabo dams combined with the interactions between the hydrological and substrate characteristics along the stream margin further increase the potential for retention of litter²⁵⁾. Furthermore, given that the increased retention times attributed to the sabo dams also contribute to creating an environment suitable for colonization by shredder fauna²⁴⁾, litter decomposition in reaches with sabo dams is likely to be promoted. Thus, comparative studies of lit28 Use of field measurement data to identify possible sources of major ions in a stream flowing through evergreen forests in the southern Kyushu Mountains

ter decomposition rates in reaches with and without *sabo* dams are needed in the future.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a research grant from Minami-Kyushu University.

References

- 1) Allan, J.D. (1995) Stream ecology. Chapman and Hall :
- 2) Berner, R.A. (1971) : Worldwide sulfur pollution of rivers, *J.Geophys. Res.*76 : 6597-6600.
- 3) Cummins K.W., Wilzbach A.M., Gates D.M., Perry J.B. and Taliaferro W.B. (1989) Shredders and riparian vegetation, *Bioscience*. 39, 1 : 24-30.
- 4) Egglishaw, H.J. (1968) The quantitative relationship between bottom fauna and plant detritus in streams of different calcium concentrations. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 5 : 731-740.
- 5) Elizabeth K.B. and Robert A. B.(1987) : The grobal water cycle. Prentice-Hall, Inc., U.S.A. : pp.397
- 6) **Kanai, Y.** *et. al.* (1998): Study on water quality as a result of water-rock interaction-a case study in Fukushima and Ibaraki Prefecture-. *Bull, Geol. Surv. Japan.* 49-8): 425-438.
- 7) Kitamura, H. (1992) Studies on the slope movement and countermeasures of landslides in the Southern Part of the Kyusyu Mountains. *Journal of the Japan Society of Revegetation Technology*. 18(1): 12-18 (in Japanese).
- 8) Kitamura, H. (2007) An extreme decrease in dissolved oxygen concentrations resulting from litter decomposition in a mountain stream in the Southern Kyusyu Mountains. Bulletin of Minamikyushu University 37(A): 57-68.
- 9) **Kitamura, H.** (2009) Leaching characteristics of anions and cations from evergreen leaves supplied to the stream bed and influences on stream water composition in the Southern Kyusyu Mountains. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University* 39(A) : 57-66.
- 10) Kitamura, H. and Ijuin, M. (2010) Experimental study on leaching characteristics of cations from evergreen leaves submerged in water. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University* 41A: 43-52.
- 11) **Kitamura, H.** (2011) A case study on Leaching characteristics of *Quercus glauca* leaves in Southern Kyusyu stream water. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University* 41(A) : 43-52.
- 12) **Kitamura, H.** (2012) Initial carbon and nitrogen contents and sugar release characteristics in stream waterduring initial leaching of *Quercus glauca* leaves. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University* 42(A) : 21-29.
- 13) Kitamura, H. and Akagi, S. (2013) Leaching characteristics of *Trapa japonica* ub a non-eutrohic irrigation pond. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University*

43(A): 11-21.

- 14) Kitamura, H. (2014) Effect of leaf-decomposition by *Lepidostoma japonicum* larvae on stream water physicochemistry. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University* 44(A) : 1-10.
- 15) Kitamura, H. (2015) Utilization of leachate from *Quercus glauca* leaf litter and effects of feeding and case-building behaviors of *Anisocentropus* larvae on stream water composition. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University* 45(A): 17-27.
- 16) Kitamura, H. (2016) Role of Anisocentropus larvae in decomposition and leaching of riparian zone leaves. Bulletin of Minamikyushu University 46(A): 43-52.
- 17) Kitamura, H. (2017)Leaching characteristics of leaf litter, soil and bedrock in a stream basin of the Southern Kyusyu Mountains. *Bulletin of Minamikyushu University* 47(A): 19-30.
- 18) Kodama, T., Watanabe, N. and Marui, H. (2000) Chenical weathering and shear characteristics of Neogene Mudstone from landslide in the Higashikubiki Area, Niigata Prefecture, *Ann. Rep. Saigai-ken, Niigata Univ.*, 22.
- 19) **Loughnan, F.C.** (1969) Chemical weathering of the silicate minerals. New York: Amnerican Elsevier.
- 20) Mark, M.B. (1977) Decomposition and nutrient exchange of litter in an alluvial swamp forest. *Ecology* 58: 601-609.
- 21) **Meybeck, M.** (1986) : Origin of riverborne elements derived from continetal weathering. *Amer. journal. Sci.* : 401-450.
- Nishide,S., Nishijima,N., Nagasawa, Y. and Sato,
 S. (2004) : Introduction to multivariate analysis with SPSS. Kyorin Shoin, Co., Ltd.: 217.
- 23) **Prochazka K., Varbara A.S. and Davise B.R.** (1991) Leaf litter retention and its implication for shredder distribution in two headwater stream. *Arch, Hydrobiol.* 120, 3 : 315-325.
- 24) **Rounick J.S. and Winterbourn M.J.** (1983) Leaf processing in two contrastiong beech forest streams, Effects of physical and biotic factors on litter breakdown. *Arch, Hydrobiol.* 96, 4 : 448-474.
- 25) **Speaker R., Moore K. and Gregory G.** (1984) Analysis oh the process of retention of organic matter in stream ecosystems, Verh.Internat.Verein.Limnol., 22, pp.1835-1841 (1984).
- 26) Webster, J.R.and .Benfield, E.F. (1986) Vascular plant breakdown in freshwater ecosystems. *Ann. Rev. Ecol.* 17 : 567-594.
- 27) Winterbourn M.J. (1976) Fluxes of litter falling into a small beech forest stream, N.Z. *Journal of Marine and Freshwater Reserch*. 10(3): 399-416.

要 旨

南九州第三紀層地域の山地渓流における主要イオンの起源 と水質組成の支配要因の類推

渓流水質組成を規定する第一の要因は、流域を構成する基 岩の岩種や地質構造等の地質的要因であるといわれてきた が、筆者は河畔域から渓流に供給されるリーフリターは膨大 な量にのぽると推測されることから、その溶出成分も渓流水 質の形成に重要な役割を果たすものであることを実験的に明 らかにしてきた.これらの既往研究結果を踏まえ、本研究は 5年間にわたり蓄積してきた現地渓流での水質測定結果をも とに、統計解析を交えながら、対象流域渓流水に含まれこれ まで基岩風化起源とされてきた主要イオン(SO4²⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺)の流出に影響を及ぼす要因と起源の類推を試みた ものである。

ーツ瀬川支流竹尾川(宮崎県)を対象渓流とし, 湧水箇所 (spring), 瀬(riffle), 淵(pool), サイドプール(side-pool)の各 3 箇所の合計 12 箇所の採水ポイントから採水し,水温, 電気 伝導度(EC), pH, 全炭素濃度(TC), 全窒素濃度(TN), 陽イ オン(Li⁺, Na⁺, NH⁴⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺), 陰イオン(F⁻, Cl⁻, NO²⁻, Br⁻, NO³⁻, PO4³⁻, SO4²⁻) 濃度を測定した. 採水および測定 は,2011年7月~2014年1月の期間では高温増水期(5~10 月),低温渇水期(11~4月)において無作為に選んだ日に行 い,2015年4月~2016年3月の期間では,毎月1回の頻度 で行った.

2元配置分散分析と多重比較結果から,EC, pH, NO³⁻, SO₄²⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺において, 冬とそれ以外の季節との間に, また, NO³⁻, SO₄²⁻, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺において, 湧水とそれ 以外の流水環境との間に有意な差が認められた(P < 0.05). さらに重回帰分析結果から, SO₄²⁻, Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺の 流出に対しては, それぞれ水温, 先行降雨量(90 日間), TN/ TC, Ca²⁺ イオン濃度と先行降雨量, pH がすべての流水環境 に共通する支配要因であることがわかった(P < 0.05).

以上の結果から、 Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} は基岩(calcite(CaCO₃)もしく は dolomite(CaMg(CO₃)₂))の機械的・化学的風化を主な起源 とし、 K^+ はリター分解指標である TN/TC との関連が強くリ ターが滞留する side-pool において濃度が高いことから、河畔 域から渓流に供給されるリターの初期分解過程である溶出段 階において放出される K^+ を起源とすることが、統計的にも 裏付けられた.